

I received your letter and have forwarded your previous one to Symonds. I am sure
I have no wish to impute dishonest intentions to anyone but between ourselves
I am sure ~~the~~ ^{I would} other side have adopted every other tone in my letter without ^{losing} ^{moment}
all chance of operating upon his mind. Neither in his first letter to me nor in the
second did he allude to ^{in the simplest way} the plan which he at present ^{suggests} proposes. ^{It is} ^{scarcely} ^{any} ^{human} ^{nature}
I fear many difficulties would attend the carrying into effect the plan of
giving Stapleton the proceeds. I do not know to ^{what} ^{Stapleton} It is scarcely any human
nature that Stapleton could live at Pevensey as his Curate; one party staying
by the breach of faith he must naturally impute and the other really
embarrassed at having to pay over the proceeds; this is a plan that could not
succeed. I fear the other hand Stapleton did not ^{at all} ^{consent} ^{but}
think it extremely ^{improbable} how far as a Clergy man he would think it probable
to accept the proceeds of a living which another man was holding and
for which he was performing no sort of duty. ^{It may perhaps be somewhat uncertain} ^{when there is a clear course}
to be pursued in almost every day practice and which honourable men
have adopted without scruple I do not comprehend if the purpose be
manly and straight forward these expedients to evade it. Besides he
wraps up his meaning in a mysticism which I cannot easily penetrate
he says "I consider that through my presentation by the Crown to a living clear
of any stipulated contract or agreement with del C. as the Patron of the
living and that therefore I am not bound to communicate myself" how
if he does not renounce in virtue of an understanding with me ^{there can} ^{be} ^{no} ^{objection}
canon cannot be prompted by any illegal motive and by his own showing
I do not see how he ^{can communicate himself} ^{or} ^{any} ^{illegally}. He swore at his induction that
he had entered into no simoniacal agreement directly or indirectly. If there
was no unlawful engagement ^{to} ^{prevent} ^{his} ^{accept}, there can be ^{no} ^{objection} ^{to} ^{re-calling}
resigning. But it really seems to me that he cannot be consistent. There must
be an illegal understanding, to justify the ^{double} ^{republic} ^{whores} ^{is} ^{taking} ^{the} ^{revenue}

On oath and then ^{as a duty} must be no contract to get out of the obligation which
requires him to remain - If he has always felt that he must be asked
questions he could not answer why did he accept it knowing he could not
comply with the conditions upon which alone it was given - But I will
not tire you with another dose of it and why not get out of these difficulties
by accepting the Archbishop's living

Thank it if I could see only be at present a little better than
I had before now - I was the greater part of the last year
in the hospital with an operation on what I suppose
was the right eye - you is that I had
rather not as he had hardly recovered
I had been told that he had intended he had
written on giving an answer to your communication
made on another point with the same
not and that you afterwards intended to return
to him to explain that intention
how if he had no opportunity of doing the last
month I think to your honor in your
for things done and his obligations to you
and me he thinks you have told him that
names to the original question - He says
but he may have said for what is not
your own separate information - I
to see the confidence it in giving that he
you any information in that he will
should not give any information in that
is concerning that but whether he
at present that you would not let him
be unwillingly to give information that
Hence (you may be able to) but only
but I think you would be able to
I think you would be able to